

TLO Budgeting

Dr. Ashley J. Stevens
President



Agenda

- ❑ Income Budgeting
 - ❑ License income forecasting
 - ❑ Income distribution
 - ❑ Data sources
 - ❑ Auditing
- ❑ Expense Budgeting
 - ❑ Patent cost budgeting
 - ❑ Personnel cost budgeting
 - ❑ Salaries
 - ❑ Bonuses
 - ❑ Operating costs budgeting

Income Budgeting

- ❑ Licenses have a variety of payments
 - ❑ Some defined payments, pre-commercialization
 - ❑ Upfront fee
 - ❑ Patent cost reimbursement
 - ❑ Developmental milestone payments
 - ❑ First two will certainly be received
 - ❑ Receipt of each developmental milestones depends on:
 - ❑ The license agreement remaining in effect
 - ❑ Previous developmental milestones being met
 - ❑ Market remaining attractive
 - ❑ That step in the development pathway being successful

Income Budgeting

- ❑ Licenses have a variety of payments
 - ❑ Some defined payments, post commercialization
 - ❑ Sales milestones
 - ❑ Timing of receipt of these depends on:
 - ❑ The product being approved
 - ❑ The extent of the product's success

Income Budgeting

- ❑ Licenses have a variety of payments
 - ❑ Some payments that depend on extent of the product's success
 - ❑ Royalties
 - ❑ The amount received in each payment period depends on the level of the product's sales
 - ❑ The deductions from gross sales to get to Net Sales

Income Forecasting

- ❑ Forecasting income under a license depends on having good data
- ❑ First source will be licensee reports
 - ❑ Annual reports pre-commercialization
 - ❑ Or more frequently if negotiated as part of the license
 - ❑ Maybe six monthly pre-commercialization
 - ❑ Quarterly reports post-commercialization
 - ❑ With a start-up company, negotiate to receive additional information
 - ❑ All Board packages
 - ❑ Business plans for investors

Income Forecasting

- ❑ Supplement by closely following the licensee's public statements
 - ❑ Press releases
 - ❑ Website
 - ❑ Presentations at conferences
 - ❑ Quarterly and Annual Reports
 - ❑ If public
 - ❑ Or country that requires all companies to file public reports
 - ❑ e.g., UK
 - ❑ Companies frequently publicly disclose quarterly and annual sales of individual products
 - ❑ Particularly if product is a major revenue generator
- ❑ Third source should be market research reports on that industry

Auditing

- ❑ Finally, internal data from licensee via an audit
- ❑ Licenses should always include the right for the licensor to audit the licensee
 - ❑ To verify compliance with terms of the license



“Trust but verify”

Auditing

- ❑ Finally, internal data from licensee via an audit
- ❑ Licenses should always include the right for the licensor to audit the licensee
 - ❑ To verify compliance with terms of the license
 - ❑ Normally used to verify accuracy of payments
 - ❑ Milestone payments
 - ❑ Payments received from sublicensees
 - ❑ Sales
 - ❑ Allowable deductions from sales
 - ❑ Once per year
 - ❑ Up to 3 years in arrears
 - ❑ IRS records retention requirement
 - ❑ Reasonable notice
 - ❑ Time that is reasonably convenient to licensee

Auditing

- ❑ By an independent accountant retained and paid for by licensor
 - ❑ May require that the accountant be a CPA
- ❑ Will be expensive
 - ❑ \$35,000
- ❑ Audit provisions normally require licensee to reimburse licensor if an under payment of more than 5% in any payment is found
 - ❑ Pay attention to details when negotiating the license
 - ❑ Threshold for reimbursement
 - ❑ 5% vs. 10%
 - ❑ Annual amount due vs. any individual (quarterly) payment

Auditing

- ❑ When should you audit?
 - ❑ Every 3 years if sales are \$1 – 5 million/year
 - ❑ Every year if sales are >\$5 million/year
 - ❑ If there's a discrepancy between what they're reporting to you vs. what they're saying in public
 - ❑ Level of sales
 - ❑ If there are excessive deductions from gross sale
 - ❑ Should be less than 5%
 - ❑ Biological drugs and vaccines may have shelf life issues and high returns
 - ❑ If payments stop the day the patents expire
 - ❑ License grant is to “make, use and sell”
 - ❑ They must pay royalties on the product they've made prior to patent expiration

Putting the Income Forecast Together

- ❑ Should always aim for a forecast that is below what actually happens
 - ❑ “Stretchably conservative”
- ❑ Must anticipate failure of some licensees to successfully develop the licensed technology into a product
 - ❑ In drug development, there are studies and data on success rates of a drug candidate successfully progressing through successive stages of pre-clinical and clinical development
 - ❑ Apply them to likelihood of receiving milestone payments and running royalties
 - ❑ In other sectors, talk to licensee about their track record
- ❑ Present the big picture
 - ❑ Total income received by institution
 - ❑ Not just share retained by OTL

Expense Budgeting

- ❑ Biggest challenge is patent budgeting
 - ❑ Patent budget generally ~50% of total OTL budget
 - ❑ Significant % of expenditures reimbursed by licensees
 - ❑ Sunk costs incurred prior to the license
 - ❑ Generally paid at closing
 - ❑ Start-up may ask to reimburse over 2-3 years if sunk costs are substantial
 - ❑ On-going costs of prosecution
 - ❑ Generally best for university to pay the law firm bills and immediately bill the licensee
 - ❑ Law firms tend to think of the person who's paying their bills as their client
 - ❑ Don't want them thinking of the licensee as the client
 - ❑ Their interests may be different from university's
 - ❑ Unless licensee is a start-up with limited cash
 - ❑ Let the law firm take the credit risk!

Expense Budgeting

- ❑ Budget should be based on net expenses after all reimbursements
 - ❑ Suppose a licensee decides to patent extensively worldwide
 - ❑ Total patent expenses will go up
 - ❑ Net expenses won't change
 - ❑ University will benefit long term
 - ❑ Bigger royalty base

Expense Budgeting

- ❑ At a major OTL, there will be thousands of law firm actions per year
 - ❑ Each one has a cost
 - ❑ Minimum billing time is generally 6 minutes (0.1 hours)
 - ❑ For a lawyer charging \$600/hour, a short phone call costs \$60
- ❑ Most OTL's negotiate either:
 - ❑ Fixed fees for each specific type of action; or
 - ❑ "Not to exceed"
 - ❑ Hourly rate up to a limit

Expense Budgeting

- ❑ Biggest costs of patenting are:
 - ❑ Preparation and filing of initial patent application
 - ❑ Generally ~50% of total cost of getting a patent
 - ❑ National phase entry
 - ❑ 30 months after filling initial application
 - ❑ EPO
 - ❑ Substantial filing fees
 - ❑ Substantial annual maintenance costs
 - ❑ Japan, China
 - ❑ Translation costs

Expense Budgeting

- ❑ US PTO fees generally reasonable
 - ❑ 50% reduction for non-profits and SME's
 - ❑ SME is company with <500 employees
 - ❑ If patent application is licensed to a large entity, no reduction
 - ❑ Micro-entities and universities get 75% reduction in fees
 - ❑ Maintenance fees at 7.5, 11 and 13 years after patent issuance
 - ❑ Late fees are significant and should be avoided
- ❑ PCT filing fees are reasonable
 - ❑ Maintains options for worldwide patenting for 30 months from initial filing
 - ❑ Will be very important to licensees

Expense Budgeting

- ❑ Patent actions are reasonably predictable
 - ❑ Once a patent application is filed, there are predictable deadlines
 - ❑ e.g., If initial application is a US provisional application, one year later:
 - ❑ Conversion of US provisional application to US utility application and/or
 - ❑ PCT filing
 - ❑ Biggest variable is time to initial office action
 - ❑ The “Backlog”
 - ❑ Currently ~3 years in the US
 - ❑ When examination starts, examiner sets a time to respond
 - ❑ Can be extended by payment of late fees
 - ❑ Avoid at all costs

Budgeting Process

- ❑ Iterative
 - ❑ What would we spend if we did everything?
 - ❑ What will the university let us spend?
 - ❑ Which cases do we want to spend it on?
- ❑ Continuous
 - ❑ Set budget and priorities prior to start of the budget year
 - ❑ Update monthly
 - ❑ Reset priorities
- ❑ Labor intensive
 - ❑ Requires substantial efforts by all members of the OTL
 - ❑ Requires substantial effort by law firms
 - ❑ Get them to agree to not charge for annual budgeting in Engagement Letter

Budgeting Process

- ❑ Wild cards:
 - ❑ Which new licenses will be signed?
 - ❑ Availability of sunk cost reimbursement to offset new cases
 - ❑ Pressure to sign licenses increases as year end approaches
 - ❑ How many new initial patent applications will we file?
 - ❑ Number of new disclosures is predictable
 - ❑ What cases will we enter National Phase on without a licensee?
 - ❑ Universities never used to do this
 - ❑ Translational research programs can lead to it
 - ❑ University spends time developing the technology before seeking a licensee
 - ❑ Need to preserve worldwide rights to attract licensees

Budgeting Process

- ❑ Steps:
 1. Identify all active cases
 - ❑ From the OTL's database
 - ❑ Confirm with law firms
 - ❑ Critical that the law firm use the OTL's case numbering system in all their bills and case names
 2. Identify all actions likely during the budget year
 - ❑ And the month they'll likely occur
 3. Ask law firm to give a "not-to-exceed" for each action
 - ❑ Based on case complexity, extent of examiner's objections
 4. Create spreadsheet with cost of actions by case by month
 5. Allow for reimbursements by licensees

Budgeting Process

❑ Steps:

6. Generate net budget
 - ❑ Generally will exceed what the university is willing to spend
7. Office as a whole prioritizes the entire portfolio
 - ❑ Priority 1 Will certainly do
 - ❑ Priority 2 Will do if there is an upside event – licensee, unexpected reimbursement, etc.
 - ❑ Priority 3 Will not do
8. Calculate the Priority 1 net budget
 - ❑ Is this more than or less than what the university will spend?
9. Reprioritize to increase or decrease Priority 1's as appropriate
10. Recalculate the Priority 1 net budget
 - ❑ Repeat until Priority 1 net budget equals what the university is willing to spend

Ongoing Budget Management

- ❑ Monthly reconciliation of budget with actual
 - ❑ Did the predicted action occur?
 - ❑ If not, when will it occur?
 - ❑ Did the predicted action come in over/under the budgeted amount?
 - ❑ Have there been any “wild card” events?
 - ❑ Surge of new, important inventions
 - ❑ New licensee
 - ❑ Final rejection by an examiner
 - ❑ License termination
 - ❑ Recalculate the net budget
 - ❑ Reprioritize if needed
 - ❑ Can “fine tune” year end outcome by accelerating/delaying actions in final 1-2 months

Observations

- ❑ In US, scientists understand need for patents
- ❑ Universities therefore frequently have substantial patent expenditures even before they establish an OTL
 - ❑ These expenditures are frequently wasteful
 - ❑ No negotiation of preferential rates
 - ❑ Substantial foreign expenditures without a licensee
 - ❑ Continuing to prosecute improvements for too long
 - ❑ Late fees
 - ❑ Patenting inventions with low probability of licensing
 - ❑ “Vanity” patent for a powerful faculty member
 - ❑ Patenting the wrong thing
 - ❑ Not understanding what the commercial implementation will be

Observations

- ❑ Establishing an OTL will generally rationalize expenditures
 - ❑ Triage and evaluation of inventions to ensure they are commercially viable
 - ❑ Managing prosecution
 - ❑ Negotiating preferential rates

Other Operating Cost Budgeting

- ❑ Generally straightforward and predictable
 - ❑ Salaries
 - ❑ Evaluations and raises before start of budget year
 - ❑ Bonuses
 - ❑ Predictable before budget year starts
 - ❑ Operating costs
 - ❑ Travel
 - ❑ Tools and databases
 - ❑ Predictable

Questions?

astevens@bu.edu